

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

PROPC	DNENT: Noriko Marshall
LOCAT	TION OF PROPOSAL: 14014 SE 44th St
to reve of two	RIPTION OF PROPOSAL: Critical Areas Land Use Permit for Vegetation Management egetate a degraded steep slope and steep slope buffer. Proposal includes removal trees (one native, one non-native) and a restoration plan for approximately 3,800 e feet of native plantings.
FILE N	IUMBERS: 16-127229-LO PLANNER: David Wong
probabl not requ Coordin	vironmental Coordinator of the City of Bellevue has determined that this proposal does not have a e significant adverse impact upon the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is uired under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C). This decision was made after the Bellevue Environmental nator reviewed the completed environmental checklist and information filed with the Land Use of the Development Services Department. This information is available to the public on request.
	There is no comment period for this DNS. There is a 14-day appeal period. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's office by 5:00 p.m. on This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further
	comment period on the DNS. There is a 14-day appeal period. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's Office by 5 p.m. on 7/14/2016 This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2) and is subject to a 14-day comment period from the date below. Comments must be submitted by 5 p.m. on This DNS is also subject to appeal. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's Office by 5:00 p.m. on
environ adverse project)	NS may be withdrawn at any time if the proposal is modified so as to have significant adverse mental impacts; if there is significant new information indicating a proposals probable significant e environmental impacts (unless a non-exempt license has been issued if the proposal is a private or if the DNS was procured by misrepresentation or lack of material disclosure.
Environ	mental Coordinator f Date -6/30/2016 Date
State State Arm Attor	RS TO RECEIVE THIS DOCUMENT: e Department of Fish and Wildlife / Stewart.Reinbold@dfw.gov; Christa.Heller@dfw.wa.gov; e Department of Ecology, Shoreline Planner N.W. Region / Jobu461@ecy.wa.gov; sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov y Corps of Engineers Susan.M.Powell@nws02.usace.army.mil rney General ecyolyef@atg.wa.gov kleshoot Indian Tribe Karen.Walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us; Fisheries.fileroom@muckleshoot.nsn.us



City of Bellevue Development Services Department Land Use Staff Report

Proposal Name:

Song Property Landscape

Proposal Address:

14014 SE 44th St

Proposal Description:

Critical Areas Land Use Permit for Vegetation Management to revegetate a degraded steep slope and steep slope buffer. Proposal includes removal of two trees (one native, one non-native) and a restoration plan for approximately 3,800 square feet of native plantings.

File Number:

16-127229-LO

Applicant:

Noriko Marshall

Decisions Included:

Critical Areas Land Use Permit

(Process II. LUC 20.30P)

Planner:

David Wong, Planner

State Environmental Policy Act Threshold Determination:

Determination of Non-Significance

Kleid In Bode for

Carol V. Helland, Environmental Coordinator

Development Services Department

Director's Decision:

Approval with Conditions

Carol V. Helland, Land Use Director Development Services Department

Verse In Bed for

Application Date:

March 23, 2016

Notice of Application Publication Date:

April 28, 2016

Decision Publication Date:

June 30, 2016

Project/SEPA Appeal Deadline:

July 14, 2016

Contents

I.	Proposal Description	Pg 1
II.	Consistency with Land Use Code Requirements	Pg 1
III.	Public Notice & Comment	Pg 4
IV.	State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)	Pg 4
V.	Decision Criteria	Pg 4
VI.	Conclusion and Decision	Pg 5
VII.	Conditions of Approval	Pg 5

Attachments

- 1.
- Vegetation Management Plan In File SEPA Checklist, Application Forms, and Materials In File 2.

I. Proposal Description

The applicant is requesting a Critical Areas Land Use Permit approval to remove one native birch tree (*Betula spp.*) and revegetate degraded steep slope and steep slope buffer conditions that currently exist on-site. The proposal includes revegetation of approximately 3,800 square feet of steep slope and steep slope buffer with native trees, shrubs, and groundcovers. Additional ornamental landscaping has been included on the site but will be located outside of the critical area and critical area buffer.

A permit is required because any vegetation removal within a geologic hazard critical area requires a Vegetation Management Plan approved through a Critical Areas Land Use Permit per LUC 20.25H.055.C.3.i.vi.

II. Consistency with Land Use Code Requirements:

Vegetation Management Plan Performance Standards LUC 20.25H.055.C.3.v.i

(A)	Is the Vegetation Management Plan prepared	by a qualified professional?
Yes	oxtimes or No $oxtimes$	
Des	scribe:	

Plan Preparer's Name: Noriko Marshall Company: Ohashi Landscape Services Address: 30385 SE High Point Way

Phone: 206-779-0546

Email: norikomarshall@gmail.com

Statement of Qualifications: Landscape Architect

(B) Does the Vegetation Management Plan include the following?

(1) A description	of existing site cond	itions, including	existing critical	area functions
and values;				

Yes ⊠ or No □

Describe: The project site is located at 14014 SE 44th St in Bellevue, WA (parcel #7856660130). A single-family residence currently occupies the southern half of the site. The subject parcel contains a steep slope critical area its buffers, and is protected by the City's Critical Areas Ordinance. The slope and buffer are dominated by non-native grasses, ornamental plants, and invasive species. Species found within the area include but are not limited to English laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus*) and Fraser photinia (*Photinia fraseri*).

(2) A site history;

Yes ⊠ or No □

Describe: The total lot size is 0.32 acres and is zoned R-3.5 (single family residential). The project site was developed with a 3,710 square-foot single-family dwelling in 1977.

(3) A discussion of the plan objectives;

Yes \boxtimes or No \square

Describe: The general objective of the plan is to restore the functions of the steep slope and associated buffers. The management plan also includes the following specific goals and objectives:

Goals	Objectives
To improve degraded conditions of steep	Revegetate steep slope and steep slope
slope and steep slope buffer	buffers with native vegetation
To minimize the general presence of	To remove and control noxious weed
noxious weed species	species coverage within the 3,800 sqft
	critical area slope and buffer area

(4) A description of all sensitive features;
Yes ⊠ or No □
Describe: The site contains geologic hazard steep slope and associated buffer.

(5) I	dentification of soils	, existing vegetation	, and habitat a	associated wit	h species of
loca	I importance present	on the site;			

Yes ⊠ or No □

Describe: Soils within and adjacent to the management area are mapped as Beausite gravelly sandy loam, 15-30 percent slopes. The slope is dominated by non-native grasses, ornamental species, and non-native species common of residential landscapes. The slope is highly degraded and is assumed to have low habitat value for species of local importance.

(6) Allowed work windows;

Yes ⊠ or No □

Describe: The owner plans to conduct all site-prep work between May 1 and September 30. All mitigation planting will be installed between October 1 and March 30, which will meet the recommended installation timing to ensure successful establishment of plant material.

(7) A clear delineation of the area within which clearing and other vegetation management practices are allowed under the plan; and

Yes \boxtimes or No \square

Describe: See Attachment 1.

(8) Short- and long-term management prescriptions, including characterization of trees and vegetation to be removed, and restoration and revegetation plans with native species, including native species with a lower growth habit. Such restoration and revegetation plans shall demonstrate that the proposed Vegetation Management Plan will not significantly diminish the functions and values of the critical area or alter the forest and habitat characteristics of the site over time.

Song Property Landscape
16-127229-LO
Page 3

	_		_	-	_
Yes		$^{\circ}$	N	\sim	1 1
162	\sim	OI.	ı١	IU.	\square

Describe: See Attachment 1 for the proposed planting and monitoring plan. These replacement trees, shrubs, and groundcovers will provide erosion control function to stabilize the steep slope and buffers. It will also be beneficial for restoring wildlife habitat functions. See Section VII for conditions of approval.

(C) Would any proposed tree removal result in a significant impact to habitat associated with species of local importance?

Yes □ or No ☒

Describe: The proposal requests two trees to be removed; a 4" non-native laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus*) and a 2" native birch (*Betula spp.*). Removal of the birch is proposed to promote growth of an existing native dogwood (*Cornus nuttallii*).

If yes, can the impacted function be replaced elsewhere within the management area subject to the plan?

Yes □ or No ⊠

In no event may a tree or vegetation which is an active nest site for a species of local importance be removed pursuant to this subsection.

(D) Is the area under application subject to any applicable neighborhood restrictive covenants that address view preservation or vegetation management? The existence of and provisions of neighborhood restrictive covenants shall not be entitled to any more or less weight than other reports and materials in the record.

Yes □ or No ☒

III. Public Notice and Comment

Application Date: March 23, 2016
Public Notice (500 feet): April 28, 2016
Minimum Comment Period: May 12, 2016

The Notice of Application for this project was published in the City of Bellevue weekly permit bulletin on February 12, 2015. It was mailed to property owners within 500 feet of the project site. No comments have been received from the public as of the writing of this staff report.

IV. State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)

The environmental review indicates no probability of significant adverse environmental impacts occurring as a result of the proposal. The attached Environmental Checklist submitted with the application adequately discloses expected environmental impacts associated with the project. The City codes and requirements, including the Clear and Grade Code, Utility Code, Land Use

Code, Noise Ordinance, Building Code and other construction codes are expected to mitigate potential environmental impacts. Therefore, issuance of a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) is the appropriate threshold determination under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements.

V. Critical Areas Land Use Permit Decision Criteria LUC 20.30P.140

The Director may approve or approve with modifications an application for a Critical Areas Land Use Permit if:

Area	s Land Use Permit if:			
A.	The proposal obtains all other permits required by the Land Use Code; and			
	Yes ⊠ or No □			
	Describe: The proposal is required to obtain a clearing and grading in critical areas (GJ)			
	permit prior to commencing work under this proposal.			
B.	The proposal utilizes to the maximum extent possible the best available construction, design and development techniques which result in the least impact on the critical area and critical area buffer; and Yes \boxtimes or No \square			
	Describe: The best available design and development technique resulting in the least impact to the critical area is to replace the lost tree and ornamental landscaping with new, native trees, shrubs, and groundcovers.			
C.	The proposal incorporates the performance standards of Part 20.25H LUC to the maximum extent applicable; and Yes \boxtimes or No \square			
	Describe: As discussed in Section II, the proposal has demonstrated compliance with the performance standards for vegetation management within a critical area.			
D.	The proposal will be served by adequate public facilities including streets, fire protection, and utilities; and Yes \boxtimes or No \square			
	Describe: The site is currently served by adequate public facilities. The proposal will not increase the need for public facilities on the site.			
E.	The proposal includes a mitigation or restoration plan consistent with the requirements of LUC 20.25H.210; except that a proposal to modify or remove vegetation pursuant to an approved Vegetation Management Plan under LUC 20.25H.055.C.3.i shall not require a mitigation or restoration plan; and Yes ⋈ or No □			

Describe: The proposal includes a restoration plan. See Section VII for conditions of approval related to monitoring and reporting associated with the restoration plan.

F. The proposal complies with other applicable requirements of this code.

Yes ⊠ or No □

Describe: Demonstration of compliance with the other applicable requirements of the Bellevue City Code will be completed under the review of the required Clearing & Grading permit

VI. Conclusion and Decision

After conducting the various administrative reviews associated with this proposal, including Land Use Code consistency, SEPA, City Code and Standard compliance reviews, the Director of the Development Services Department does hereby **Approve with Conditions** the vegetation management plan within the steep slope critical area and buffer at 14014 SE 44th St.

Note- Expiration of Approval: In accordance with LUC 20.30P.150 a Critical Areas Land Use Permit automatically expires and is void if the applicant fails to file for a Clearing and Grading Permit or other necessary development permits within one year of the effective date of the approval.

VII. Conditions of Approval

The applicant shall comply with all applicable Bellevue City Codes and Ordinances including but not limited to:

Applicable Ordinances	Contact Person
Clearing and Grading Code- BCC 23.76	Tom McFarlane, 425-452-5207
Land Use Code- BCC 20.25H	David Wong, 425-452-4282
Noise Control- BCC 9.18	David Wong, 425-452-4282

The following conditions are imposed under the Bellevue City Code or SEPA authority referenced:

1. Clearing & Grading Permit Required: Approval of this Critical Areas Land Use Permit does not constitute an approval of a development permit. A Clearing & Grading permit must be approved, and plans submitted as part of this permit application shall be consistent with the activity permitted under this approval.

Authority: Land Use Code 20.25H.220.H

Reviewer: David Wong, Land Use

2. Clearing Grading Submittal Documents: In addition to the documents required for a Clearing & Grading in Critical Areas permit, a copy of the final vegetation management plan, monitoring plan, and detailed planting plan must be submitted at time of application.

Authority: Land Use Code 20.30P.140 Reviewer: David Wong, Land Use

3. Monitoring and Reporting Required: To ensure establishment occurs and long-term viability is assured, a yearly monitoring report demonstrating compliance with performance standards in the plan shall be submitted to the Development Services Department for a period of three (3) years.

The reports can be sent to David Wong at dwong@bellevuewa.gov or the address below:

Environmental Planning Manager Development Services Department City of Bellevue PO Box 90012 Bellevue, WA 98009-9012

Authority: Land Use Code 20.25H.220.H

Reviewer: David Wong, Land Use

4. Rainy Season restrictions: Due to the proximity to steep slope critical areas, no clearing and grading activity may occur during the rainy season, which is defined as October 1 through April 30 without written authorization of the Development Services Department. Should approval be granted for work during the rainy season, increased erosion and sedimentation measures, representing the best available technology must be implemented prior to beginning or resuming site work.

Authority: Bellevue City Code 23.76.093.A,
Reviewer: Tom McFarlane, Clearing and Grading

5. Pesticides, Insecticides, and Fertilizers: The applicant must submit as part of the required Clearing and Grading Permit information regarding the use of pesticides, insecticides, and fertilizers in accordance with the City of Bellevue's "Environmental Best Management Practices".

Authority: Land Use Code 20.25H.220.H

Reviewer: David Wong, Land Use

6. Noise Control: Noise related to construction is exempt from the provisions of BCC 9.18 between the hours of 7 am to 6 pm Monday through Friday and 9 am to 6 pm on Saturdays, except for Federal holidays and as further defined by the Bellevue City Code. Noise emanating from construction is prohibited on Sundays or legal holidays unless expanded hours of operation are specifically authorized in advance. Requests for construction hour extension must be done in advance with submittal of a construction noise expanded exempt hours permit.

Authority: Bellevue City Code 9.18 Reviewer: David Wong, Land Use